



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

ON THE STRUCTURE OF FINITE CONTINUOUS GROUPS WITH ONE
TWO-PARAMETER INVARIANT SUBGROUP.

BY S. D. ZELDIN.

In a paper published in these Annals* I have considered groups having exceptional transformations and have shown how their structure can be simplified by imposing certain conditions on groups isomorphic with the given ones. In the present paper I shall show how the structure of groups with *one two-parameter invariant subgroup* can be simplified by imposing a few conditions on the groups *meroëdrically isomorphic* with them.

1. Introductory remarks and assumptions. Let G_{r+2} be a finite continuous group of order $r + 2$ generated by the infinitesimal transformations whose differential operators are $X_1, \dots, X_r, X_{r+1}, X_{r+2}$, where

$$X_i = \sum_{k=1}^{r+2} \xi(x_1, \dots, x_{r+2}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \quad (i = 1, \dots, r + 2),$$

and let G_{r+2} have an invariant two-parameter subgroup, which for simplicity may be taken to be generated by the operators X_{r+1} and X_{r+2} . Denoting the operators of the adjoint of G_{r+2} by E_1, \dots, E_{r+2} , where

$$E_i = \sum_{j=1}^{r+2} \sum_{k=1}^{r+2} \alpha_j c_{jik} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_k} \quad (i = 1, \dots, r + 2)$$

(the α 's are the parameters of G_{r+2} and the c_{jik} 's are the structural constants), we can write down the following known equalities:

$$(1) \quad (X_i, X_j) = \sum_{k=1}^r c_{ijk} X_k + \sum_{k=r+1}^r c_{ijk} X_k \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, r),$$

$$(2) \quad (X_i, X_j) = \sum_{k=r+1}^{r+2} c_{ijk} X_k \quad \begin{pmatrix} i = 1, \dots, r+1, r+2 \\ j = r+1, r+2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(3) \quad (E_i, E_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{r+2} c_{ijk} E_k \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, r).$$

Since the group G_{r+2} is assumed to have an invariant subgroup of order 2, there exists a *simple* group of order r , say G_r , which is meroëdrically isomorphic with G_{r+2} .† If we denote the operators of G_r by Y_1, \dots, Y_r ,

* Vol. 22, p. 95.

† Lie-Engel, vol. 3, p. 703.

where

$$Y_i = \sum_{k=1}^r \theta_{ki}(y_1, \dots, y_r) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k},$$

and the operators of the adjoint of G_r by A_1, \dots, A_r , where

$$A_i = \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j c_{jik} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_k},$$

we have

$$(Y_i, Y_j) = \sum_{k=1}^r c_{ijk} Y_k \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, r)$$

and

$$(A_i, A_j) = \sum_{k=1}^r c_{ijk} A_k \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, r).$$

The condition imposed on the adjoint of G_r is that it shall have *one invariant spread*. It is to be observed that this spread is not a flat, for if it were, the group G_r would not be simple. If the invariant spread is given by the equation

$$F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) = 0,$$

then the function $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$ will satisfy the system of partial differential equations

$$A_i f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \equiv \Sigma \alpha_j c_{jii} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_1} + \dots + \Sigma \alpha_j c_{jir} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha_r} = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, r).$$

Forming the matrix of the coefficients of those differential equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j A_j \equiv (\Sigma \alpha_j c_{j11}, \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j12}, \dots, \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j1r}) \\ \left| \begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \Sigma \alpha_j c_{jr1}, & \Sigma \alpha_j c_{jr2}, & \dots & \Sigma \alpha_j c_{jrr} \end{array} \right|$$

we must have, since that system of equations has only one solution, the nullity of this matrix to be equal to *one*, i.e., at least one minor of order $r - 2$ of the determinant $|\Sigma \alpha_j A_j|$ does not vanish. But each minor of $|\Sigma \alpha_j A_j|$ is also a minor of $|\Sigma \alpha_j E_j|$, where

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r+2} \alpha_j E_j \equiv (\Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,1,1}, \dots, \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,r,1}, \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,r+1,1}, \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,r+2,1}) \\ \left| \begin{array}{ccccccccc} \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,1,r}, & \dots & \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,r,r}, & \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,r+1,r}, & \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,r+2,r} \\ \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,1,r+1}, & \dots & \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,r,r+1}, & \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,r+1,r+1}, & \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,r+2,r+1} \\ \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,1,r+2}, & \dots & \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,r,r+2}, & \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,r+1,r+2}, & \Sigma \alpha_j c_{j,r+2,r+2} \end{array} \right|.$$

Therefore at least one minor of order $r - 3$ of the determinant $|\Sigma \alpha_j E_j|$ does not vanish, and thus the nullity of the matrix $\Sigma \alpha_j E_j$ can not exceed 3 for an arbitrary system of values of the α 's. Further, for $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2}$ assigned, the symbolic equation

$$(A) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i X_i, \sum_{j=1}^{r+2} \beta_j X_j \right) = \rho \Sigma \Sigma \alpha_i \beta_j \sum_{k=r+1}^{r+2} c_{ijk} X_k \quad (\rho \neq 0)$$

is satisfied by the following three independent solutions:

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_1, \quad \beta_2 = \alpha_2, \quad \dots, \quad \beta_r = \alpha_r, \quad \beta_{r+1} = 0, \quad \beta_{r+2} = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\beta_1 = 0, \quad \beta_2 = 0, \quad \dots, \quad \beta_r = 0, \quad \beta_{r+1} = 1, \quad \beta_{r+2} = 0, \quad (2)$$

$$\beta_1 = 0, \quad \beta_2 = 0, \quad \dots, \quad \beta_r = 0, \quad \beta_{r+1} = 0, \quad \beta_{r+2} = 1. \quad (3)$$

The first set of β 's satisfies, because it makes both sides of equation (A) equal to zero; the sets (2) and (3) satisfy because X_{r+1} and X_{r+2} form, by our assumption, an invariant subgroup of G_{r+2} .*

But from equation (A) follows the system of equations

$$\beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i11} + \beta_2 \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i21} + \dots + \beta_{r+1} \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i,r+1,1} + \beta_{r+2} \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i,r+2,1} = 0,$$

.....

$$\beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i2r} + \beta_2 \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i2r} + \cdots + \beta_{r+1} \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i,r+1,r} + \beta_{r+2} \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i,r+2,r} = 0,$$

$$(1 - \rho) [\beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i,1,r+1} + \beta_2 \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i,2,r+1} + \dots \\ + \beta_{r+1} \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i,r+1,r+1} + \beta_{r+2} \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i,r+2,r+2}] = 0,$$

$$(1 - \rho) [\beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i,1,r+2} + \beta_2 \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i,2,r+2} + \dots \\ + \beta_{r+1} \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i,r+1,r+2} + \beta_{r+2} \sum_{i=1}^{r+2} \alpha_i c_{i,r+2,r+2}] = 0.$$

The determinant of the coefficients of the β 's in those equations must have at least one non-vanishing minor of order $r - 1$, and therefore the nullity of the matrix $\sum_{j=1}^{r+2} \alpha_j E_j$ can not be less than 3. We may now say that the nullity of $\sum_{j=1}^{r+2} \alpha_j E_j$ is equal to 3. Now, since the nullity of the matrix $\Sigma \alpha_j E_j$ is equal to the number of independent invariants of the adjoint of G_{r+2} , the system of partial differential equations

$$E_1 f(\alpha) = 0, \quad \dots, \quad E_{r+2} f(\alpha) = 0$$

* Since all the operators of the group G_{r+2} are given, ρ and $c_{i;k}$ ($k = r + 1, r + 2$) can easily be found.

has three independent functions in $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2}$ for solutions. It is also evident that $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$, which is a solution of the equations

$$A_1 f(\alpha) = 0, \quad \dots, \quad A_r f(\alpha) = 0,$$

is also a solution of

$$E_1 f(\alpha) = 0, \quad \dots, \quad E_{r+2} f(\alpha) = 0,$$

for $F(\alpha)$ does not depend on $\alpha_{r+1}, \alpha_{r+2}$. Denoting the invariants of the adjoint of G_{r+2} by $F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$, $V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2})$, $W(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2})$, we may state the following

THEOREM. *If the adjoint of G_r , which is meroëdrically isomorphic with G_{r+2} , has one invariant, the adjoint of G_{r+2} has three invariants, one of which is also invariant to the adjoint of G_r .*

2. The invariant spreads of the adjoint of G_{r+2} and their properties. Consider the invariant spread $V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2}) = 0$, supposing that it is the only $(r + 1)$ -flat invariant to the adjoint of G_{r+2} . It will then represent an invariant subgroup of order $r + 1$ of G_{r+2} .* It is to be observed that the two-parameter subgroup X_{r+1}, X_{r+2} which was assumed to be invariant in G_{r+2} represents geometrically a straight-line invariant in the space of the adjoint of G_{r+2} . We shall denote, in what follows, that line by the symbol $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$. Now, if the invariant flat $V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2}) = 0$ does not pass through the line $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$, there will then be in G_{r+2} an invariant subgroup of order $r + 1$ in addition to the given two-parameter invariant subgroup. In other words, we can find a new set of operators $\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_{r+1}, \bar{X}_{r+2}$, such linear functions of the old X 's, that

$$(\bar{X}_i, \bar{X}_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{r+1} c_{ijk} X_k \quad \begin{pmatrix} i = 1, \dots, r+1, r+2 \\ j = 1, \dots, r+1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If however the flat $V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2}) = 0$ does pass through the line $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$, then the point of intersection would have to be invariant to adjoint of G_{r+2} and there would be in G_{r+2} an invariant subgroup of order one. This case brings us to exceptional transformations which I have already discussed in my last paper.

Suppose now that $V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2}) = 0$ is an equation of degree two, reducible to two linear equations, say $V_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2}) = 0$ and $V_2(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2}) = 0$, then the intersection of these two $(r + 1)$ -flats will give an invariant r -flat in the space of the adjoint of G_{r+2} . If this r -flat does not pass through the line $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$, we will be able to find r independent operators forming an invariant subgroup of order r of G_{r+2} .

* Lie-Scheffers, p. 479.

An interesting case will arise when $V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2}) = 0$ is an irreducible algebraic spread of degree $m \geq 2$. Let us consider an arbitrary point P on the line $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$. Its polar $(r+1)$ -flat, with respect to the spread $V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2}) = 0$, will in general pass through some other point, say Q , of the line $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$, and the polar $(r+1)$ -flat of Q , with respect to the same spread, will then pass through P . The intersection of those two $(r+1)$ -flats will give an r -flat which may be regarded as a polar r -flat, with respect to $V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2}) = 0$, of the line PQ (or $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$). That flat may be looked upon as the locus of the poles of all $(r+1)$ -flats passing through the line $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$ taken with respect to the spread $V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r+2}) = 0$.*

Now, since the line $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$ and the spread $V(\alpha) = 0$ are invariant to the adjoint of G_{r+2} , the aggregate of flats passing through the line $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$ and therefore the locus of their poles quâ $V(\alpha) = 0$ will be invariant. Since that locus of poles is an r -flat, it follows that the group G_{r+2} has an *invariant subgroup of order r*, i.e., by properly choosing the operators $\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_{r+2}$ we shall have

$$(\bar{X}_i, \bar{X}_j) = \sum_{k=1}^r \bar{c}_{ijk} X_k \quad \begin{pmatrix} i = 1, \dots, r, r+1, r+2 \\ j = 1, \dots, r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Suppose, however, that $V(\alpha) = 0$ is of degree m , but is reducible to m $(r+1)$ -flats. Then their common intersection (if there is any) will form an invariant $(r-m+2)$ -flat. If that flat does not pass through the line $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$, then there will be in G_{r+2} an *invariant subgroup of order r-m+2 in addition to the given two-parameter subgroup*, i.e., the operators

$$\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_{r-m+2}, \bar{X}_{r-m+1}, \dots, \bar{X}_r, \bar{X}_{r+1}, \bar{X}_{r+2}$$

can be so chosen that

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{X}_i, \bar{X}_j) &= \sum_{k=1}^{r-m+2} \bar{c}_{ijk} X_k & (i = 1, \dots, r-m+2, \dots, r) \\ (X_i, X_{r+1}) &= (X_i, X_{r+2}) = 0 & (i = 1, \dots, r-m+2) \\ (X_i, X_j) &= \sum_{r+1}^{r+2} \bar{c}_{ijk} X_k & \begin{pmatrix} i = r-m+2, \dots, r+1, r+2 \\ j = r+1, r+2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

If the $(r-m+2)$ -flat does pass through the line $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$, we again get a single invariant point in the space of the adjoint of G_{r+2} , whose meaning I discussed before.

It may happen that $V(\alpha) = 0$ breaks up into spreads each of degree greater than one. Then their common intersection and its polar flat,

* Compare with Salmon's discussion of polar lines, G. Salmon, Analytic Geometry of Three Dimensions, p. 49.

taken with respect to the line $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$, can be considered exactly in the same way as when $V(\alpha) = 0$ was irreducible.

So far I have only considered the invariant $V(\alpha)$ independently of the third invariant $W(\alpha)$ of the adjoint of G . We could of course obtain the same results for $W(\alpha)$, as we did for $V(\alpha)$, by considering it alone. Suppose, however, that the invariant spreads

$$V(\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad W(\alpha) = 0$$

are taken together, and assume first that both are $(r + 1)$ -flats. If their intersection, which is an r -flat, does not pass through the line $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$, then there is an invariant subgroup of order r of G_{r+2} in addition to the given invariant two-parameter subgroup. If however the $(r + 1)$ -flats do not intersect at all, then each one separately will represent an invariant subgroup of order $r + 1$ of G_{r+2} .

If finally $V(\alpha) = 0$ and $W(\alpha) = 0$ are spreads of degrees m and n respectively, then, by considering the polar flat of the line $X_{r+1} \leftrightarrow X_{r+2}$, taken with respect to the intersection of $V(\alpha) = 0$ and $W(\alpha) = 0$, we shall get an invariant subgroup of order r of G_{r+2} .

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
November 8, 1920.